A New Class of Fluorinated Polymers by a Mild, Selective, and Quantitative Fluorination

Yu Ren, Timothy P. Lodge, and Marc A. Hillmyer*

Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Received April 20, 1998

The incorporation of fluorine into synthetic¹ and biologically relevant² macromolecules leads to materials with unique properties such as low surface energies, low dielectric constants, high incompatibility with other polymers, solubility in supercritical CO_2 ³ and resistance to harsh chemical environments. Fluoropolymers are used commercially where the unique properties imparted by fluorine are necessary for the desired application (e.g., chemical resistant coatings, noncorrosive materials, antifouling coatings, interlayer dielectrics). Most fluorinated polymers are prepared by polymerization of the corresponding fluorinated monomers. Although selective modification of polymers can be an effective method for the preparation of new materials,⁴ direct post-polymerization fluorination routes are chemically aggressive. Examples of synthetic polymer fluorinations include treatment with F2,5 SF6 under electrical discharge,6 BF3•Et2O,7 SF4/HF,8 fluorinated peroxides,9 and HF electrochemically.10 Fluorination yields are modest in these cases, and loss of pendant functionality, degradation of molecular weight, and cross-linking are undesirable side reactions.

Here we describe the preparation and characterization of a new class of fluoropolymers by a selective, mild, and quantitative fluorination of model polydienes with difluorocarbene (:CF₂) (eq 1).^{11,12} The addition of halogenated carbenes to polymers



containing backbone unsaturation has been described in the literature, $\tilde{}^{13}$ however the use of :CF₂ for the fluorination of polymers has not been reported to the best of our knowledge. There are many ways to generate $:CF_2$,¹² and addition of $:CF_2$ to simple, alkyl-substituted alkenes gives modest yields of difluo-

- (3) McClain, J. B.; Londono, D.; Combes, J. R.; Romack, T. J.; Canelas, D. A.; Betts, D. E.; Wignall, G. D.; Samulski, E. T.; DeSimone, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 917–918.
- (4) For example see: (a) Chatgilialoglu, C.; Ferreri, C.; Sommazzi, A. J.
- Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 7223–7224. (b) Chen, J.; Aijou, A. N.;
 Chanthateyanooth, R.; Alper, H. Macromolecules 1997, 30, 2897–2901.
 (5) Ozerin, A. N.; Rebroy, A. V.; Feldman, V. I.; et al. React. Functional
- Polym. 1995, 26, 167-175. (6) Das, P. S.; Adhikari, B.; Maiti, S. J. Polym. Sci. A, Polym. Chem. 1994,
- 32, 39-45.
- (7) Lienhard, M.; Rushkin, I.; Verdecia, G.; Weigand, C.; Apple, T.; Interrante, L. V. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 12020–12021.
 (8) Nuyken, O.; Dannhorn, W.; Obrecht, W. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **1994**,
- 195, 3531. (9) Zhou, Z.-B.; He, H.-Y.; Weng, Z.-Y.; Qu, Y.-L.; Zhao, C.-X. J. Fluorine
- Chem. 1996, 79, 1-5. (10) Noel, M.; Suryanarayanan, V.; Chellammal, S. J. Fluorine Chem. 1997,
- 83.31 40.
- (11) Brahms, D. L. S.; Dailey, W. P. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 1585.

(12) Smart, B. E. In Chemistry of Organic Fluorine Compunds II; Hudlicky, M., Pavlath, A. E., Eds.; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1995; Vol. 187, pp 767-796.

rocyclopropanes in most cases.¹⁴ For the polymer analogous reaction a route that leads to quantitative conversion of the polydiene backbone double bonds is critical to the successful preparation of model fluorinated materials. We focused on the thermolysis of hexafluoropropylene oxide (HFPO) as a :CF₂ precursor (eq 2);¹⁵ HFPO has been used for the fluorination of

electron-deficient olefins in modest to good vield.^{12,16} As substrates we chose model polydienes prepared by anionic polymerization¹⁷ with well-defined molecular weight, backbone regiochemistry,¹⁸ and molecular weight distribution.

In a preliminary experiment (entry 1/Table 1) we heated a solution of cyclohexane containing 4.7 wt % of polyisoprene $(M_{\rm n} \approx 63 \text{ kg/mol}, \text{PDI} \approx 1.12)$ and 3.5 equiv (relative to the backbone double bonds) of HFPO (bp = -42 °C) in a stainless steel high-pressure reactor to 185 °C for 18 h. The reactor was cooled and vented, and after isolation of the polymer it was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy that all of the backbone olefinic resonances were absent. Examination of the ¹⁹F and ¹³C NMR spectra corroborated the structure shown in eq 1 ($R_1 = H$, $R_2 = Me$). However, analysis of the fluorinated polyisoprene (FPI) by GPC showed a bimodal molecular weight distribution with $M_{\rm p} \approx 84$ kg/mol and PDI ≈ 1.91 . Polyisoprene is particularly susceptible to cross-linking and chain degradation in the presence of free radicals, and the high reaction temperature is presumably responsible for the observed increase in the PDI. Although HFPO is a convenient :CF2 source, the reaction conditions necessary for :CF2 generation can lead to product rearrangements in small molecules.¹⁹ To alleviate these side reactions we performed subsequent fluorinations in the presence of a radical inhibitor, 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT).

The addition of BHT resulted in a remarkable finding: highmolecular-weight model polydienes were quantitatively fluorinated using HFPO while preserving the molecular parameters designed into the parent material (Table 1). Comparison of entries 1 and 2 in Table 1 shows that the addition of 5 wt % BHT preserves the PDI of the product without sacrifice in double bond conversion. The fluorination can be performed at temperatures as low as 170 °C (entry 3/Table 1), with a small excess of HFPO (entry 5/Table 1), and with low levels of BHT (entry 7/Table 1). In contrast to the high-molecular-weight case, low-molecularweight polyisoprene can be successfully fluorinated in the absence of BHT (entry 8/Table 1).

The conversions in Table 1 were determined by integration of the ¹H NMR spectra of the fluorinated products.²⁰ Representative ¹³C and ¹⁹F NMR spectra are shown in Figure 1 for a sample of

(13) For example see: (a) Sang, S. T. M. J. Rubber Res. Inst. Malays. **1978**, 26, 48–58. (b) Barantsevich, Y. N.; Bresler, L. S.; Rabinerzon, Y. I.; Kalaus, A. Y. Polym. Sci. USSR **1978**, 20, 1449–1457. (c) Konietzny, A.; Biethan, U. Die. Angew. Makromol. Chem. **1978**, 74, 61–79.

(14) Dolbier, W. R.; Wojtowicz, H.; Burkholder, C. R. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 5420–5422.

(15) Sargeant, P. B. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 678-682.

- (16) Millauer, H.; Schwertfeger, W.; Siegemund, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 161–179.
- (17) Hsieh, H. L.; Quirk, R. P. Anionic Polymerization, Principles and Practical Applications; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1997.
- (18) The polydienes used in this study all have high 1,4 ($-CH_2-CR=$ CH-CH₂-) microstructure but do contain a small fraction of 1,2 (-CH₂-CH(CR=CH₂)-) regioadditions (4,1 and 4,3 in the case of PI). (19) Dailey, W. P.; Ralli, P.; Wasserman, D.; Lemal, D. M. J. Org. Chem.
- 1989, 54, 5516-5522.

(20) The isolated yields were measured after a minimum of two precipitations of the product from THF in methanol/2-propanol. The GPC of the crude product from entry 7 was identical to the GPC of the precipitated product.

S0002-7863(98)01316-X CCC: \$15.00 © 1998 American Chemical Society Published on Web 06/23/1998

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed (hillmyer@chem.umn.edu). (1) (a) Scheirs, J., Ed. Modern Fluoropolymers: High Performance Polymers for Diverse Applications; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1997.
(b) Wang, J.; Mao, G.; Ober, C. K.; Kramer, E. J. Macromolecules 1997, 30, 1906-1914.

⁽²⁾ Dessipri, E.; Tirrell, D. A.; Atkins, E. D. T. Macromolecules 1996, 29, 3545.

Table 1. Reaction of HFPO with Model Polydienes^a

polymer	(kg/mol)	PDI	$(M)^b$	[HFPO]/ [C=C]	BHT (wt %)	Т (°С)	(h)	isolated yield (%)	$C = C^{c}$ conv (%)	$\overline{M_n}$ (kg/mol)	product PDI
PI	63	1.12	0.69	3.5	0	185	18	74	>99	84	1.91
PI	63	1.12	0.71	2.9	5	185	19	76	>99	70	1.16
PI	13	1.04	0.18	6.9	7	170	67		>99	14	1.08
PI	13	1.04	0.14	6.1	12	185	8	82	>99	17	1.03
PI	13	1.04	0.36	1.6	4	185	16	71	>99	15	1.06
PI	13	1.04	0.37	1.1	4	185	10		64	15	1.05
PI	13	1.04	1.26	2.5	1	185	21	87	>99	17	1.03
PI	13	1.04	0.18	5.8	0	185	18		>99	17	1.05
PDB	24	1.06	0.24	5.7	3.5	185	16	78	>99	21	1.16
PB	59	1.10	0.21	6.1	10	185	17	72	>99		
PI-b-PS	73	1.06	0.09	10.0	7	170	47	87	>99	79	1.10
	olymer 'I 'I 'I 'I 'I 'I 'I 'I 'I 'I	olymer (kg/mol) PI 63 PI 63 PI 13 PI 24 PB 59 PI-b-PS 73	olymer (kg/mol) PDI PI 63 1.12 PI 63 1.12 PI 13 1.04 PDB 24 1.06 PI-b-PS 73 1.06	olymer (kg/mol) PDI (M) ^b PI 63 1.12 0.69 PI 63 1.12 0.71 PI 13 1.04 0.18 PI 13 1.04 0.14 PI 13 1.04 0.36 PI 13 1.04 0.36 PI 13 1.04 0.37 PI 13 1.04 0.26 PI 13 1.04 0.26 PI 13 1.04 0.26 PI 13 1.04 0.26 PI 13 1.04 0.18 PDB 24 1.06 0.24 PB 59 1.10 0.21 PI-b-PS 73 1.06 0.09	olymer (kg/mol) PDI (M) ^b [C=C] PI 63 1.12 0.69 3.5 PI 63 1.12 0.71 2.9 PI 13 1.04 0.18 6.9 PI 13 1.04 0.14 6.1 PI 13 1.04 0.36 1.6 PI 13 1.04 0.37 1.1 PI 13 1.04 0.18 5.8 PI 13 1.04 0.18 5.8 PDB 24 1.06 0.24 5.7 PB 59 1.10 0.21 6.1 PI-b-PS 73 1.06 0.09 10.0	olymer(kg/mol)PDI(M)b $[C=C]$ (wt %)PI631.120.693.50PI631.120.712.95PI131.040.186.97PI131.040.361.64PI131.040.371.14PI131.040.371.14PI131.040.262.51PI131.041.262.51PI131.040.185.80PDB241.060.245.73.5PB591.100.216.110PI-b-PS731.060.0910.07	olymer (kg/mol) PDI $(M)^b$ $[C=C]$ $(wt %)$ $(^{\circ}C)$ PI631.120.693.50185PI631.120.712.95185PI131.040.186.97170PI131.040.146.112185PI131.040.361.64185PI131.040.371.14185PI131.040.185.80185PI131.040.185.80185PI131.040.185.80185PDB241.060.245.73.5185PI-b-PS731.060.0910.07170	olymer(kg/mol)PDI(M)b $[C=C]$ (wt %)(°C)(h)PI631.120.693.5018518PI631.120.712.9518519PI131.040.186.9717067PI131.040.146.1121858PI131.040.361.6418516PI131.040.371.1418510PI131.040.271.1418510PI131.040.245.73.518518PDB241.060.245.73.518516PB591.100.216.11018517PI-b-PS731.060.0910.0717047	olymer(kg/mol)PDI(M) $[C=C]$ (wt %)(°C)(h)yield (%)PI631.120.693.501851874PI631.120.712.951851976PI131.040.186.9717067PI131.040.146.112185882PI131.040.361.641851671PI131.040.371.1418510PI131.040.185.8018518PI131.040.185.8018518PDB241.060.245.73.51851678PB591.100.216.1101851772PI-b-PS731.060.0910.071704787	olymer(kg/mol)PDI(M) $[C=C]$ (wt %)(°C)(h)yield (%)conv (%)PI631.120.693.501851874>99PI631.120.712.951851976>99PI131.040.186.9717067>99PI131.040.146.112185882>99PI131.040.361.641851671>99PI131.040.371.141851064PI131.040.185.8018518>99PI131.040.185.801851678>99PI131.040.216.1101851772>99PDB241.060.245.73.51851678>99PI-b-PS731.060.0910.071704787>99	olymer(kg/mol)PDI(M) ^b [C=C](wt %)(°C)(h)yield (%)conv (%) M_n (kg/mol)PI631.120.693.501851874>9984PI631.120.712.951851976>9970PI131.040.186.9717067>9914PI131.040.146.112185882>9917PI131.040.361.641851671>9915PI131.040.371.14185106415PI131.040.185.8018518>9917PI131.040.185.801851678>9917PI131.040.185.801851678>9917PI131.060.245.73.51851678>9921PDB241.060.245.73.51851678>9921PI-b-PS731.060.0910.071704787>9979

^{*a*} All GPC analysis was performed in CHCl₃, and M_n and PDI were calculated by using PS standards (the GPC solvent for entry 11 was THF). ^{*b*} Concentration of polydiene double bonds in cyclohexane solution. ^{*c*} From ¹H NMR.



Figure 1. ^{13}C (a) and ^{19}F (b) NMR spectra of FPI (entry 3/Table 1). Scales are in ppm.

FPI (entry 3/Table 1). The doublet of doublets at 117.0 ppm ($J_{CF} = 289$ and 297 Hz) in the ¹³C NMR spectrum is from the *gem*-difluoro-substituted carbon coupled to the two different fluorine atoms. The chemical shift and coupling constants are close to values reported for a similar trisubstituted difluorocyclopropane small molecule (116.5 ppm; $J_{CF} = 280$ and 306 Hz).¹⁴ The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of this FPI was very similar to the spectrum of difluorotrimethylcyclopropane, which is an FPI repeat unit analogue.¹⁴ However, the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of FPI is complicated by the presence of cis and trans backbone isomers and a small fraction of 4,3-regioisomer in the precursor. We further confirmed the structure of the fluorinated polymers by IR spectroscopy and elemental analysis.²¹

Fluorinated polydienes were prepared from polyisoprene, polydimethylbutadiene (PDB, $R_1 = R_2 = CH_3$), and polybutadiene (PB, $R_1 = R_2 = H$). From the ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of the products it is evident that all of the olefinic sites have been selectively converted to the difluorocyclopropane species; no other :CF2 insertion products were observed. Since both cis/trans 1,4 regioisomers and small amounts (\approx 5%) of 1,2 regioisomer are present in each of the starting polydienes,¹⁸ the quantitative addition of :CF₂ to this set of polymers showed that fluorination of alkyl-substituted alkenes with every substitution pattern (mono; 1,1; 1,2(c/t), 1,1,2 (E/Z), and tetrasubstituted) proceeded smoothly under these reaction conditions. In a partially fluorinated PI (entry 6/Table 1) the ¹H NMR spectrum showed no change in the ratio of 4,3 to 4,1 regiochemistry, suggesting the trisubstituted and 1,1disubstituted double bonds have similar reactivities under these conditions.

Preliminary physical characterization of the fluorinated polydienes was done by DSC and TGA (Table 2). The glass transition temperatures (T_g) of the fluorinated polymers increased by approximately 100 °C in all cases. This is presumably due to the dipole moment imparted by the CF₂ moiety in the polymer backbone leading to interchain dipole–dipole interactions. The

Table 2.	Properties of Fluorinated Polydienes and The	eir
Precursors		

sample	$T_{\rm g}(^{\rm o}{\rm C})$	$T_{\rm d}$ (°C) ^a	$\theta_{a}(H_{2}O)^{b}$	$\theta_r(H_2O)^b$
PB	-101^{c}	404	91 ± 2	45 ± 2
FPB (entry 10)	-1	355	91 ± 1	85 ± 6
PI	-61^{c}	344	98 ± 6	35 ± 7
FPI (entry 2)	43	309^{d}	91 ± 1	81 ± 6
PDB	-5^{e}	330	77 ± 1	75 ± 2
FPDB (entry 9)	89^e	328	89 ± 1	81 ± 4

^{*a*} Temperature at 5% weight loss. ^{*b*} Advancing and receding water contact angle. ^{*c*} Literature values. ^{*d*} Entry 5/Table 1. ^{*e*} These polymers showed melting endotherms (\approx 150 °C) in the DSC.

thermal stability of the three-membered ring in the backbone of the polymer was investigated since the extrusion of : CF_2 from *gem*-difluorocyclopropanes has been observed in many systems.^{22,23} From thermal gravimetric analysis (N₂ atmosphere, 10 °C/min), all of the polymers retained 95% of their original weight up above 300 °C. In addition, a sample of FPI (entry 5/Table 1) held at 200 °C under N₂ for 1 h retained 99.7% of its original weight, showed no significant change in molecular weight or PDI, had an identical ¹H NMR spectrum, and retained its solubility characteristics.

All of the fluorinated polydienes studied were insoluble in cyclohexane at room temperature (FPI was soluble in cyclohexane at \approx 60 °C). Both FPI and FPDB were soluble in common organic solvents such as THF, chloroform, toluene, and methylene chloride at room temperature. FPB was not soluble in these solvents but only soluble in THF and trifluoromethylbenzene at elevated temperature. The solubility of these fluorinated polymers in polar solvents is further evidence for a polar repeat unit. Thin films prepared by spin casting were annealed (150 °C, vacuum, overnight) for contact angle measurements with deionized water (Table 2). Fluorination generally leads to a moderate increase in both the advancing and receding contact angle; however, the films we studied displayed large hysteresis, presumably due to surface roughness.²⁴

We also demonstrated the fluorination of a model polyisoprene—polystyrene block copolymer (entry 11/Table 1). Under standard conditions only the polyisoprene was fluorinated and preservation of the molecular weight and PDI in the parent block copolymer was observed.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the University of Minnesota. We are thankful for helpful discussions with Steven Kass. Stephen Engel provided valuable technical assistance. We thank Frank Bates (high pressure equipment), Jason Ness, Hui Tao, and Ken Hanley (polymer preparation), and Mike Ward (DSC) for their contributions.

JA981316Y

⁽²¹⁾ For entry 9 in Table 1: Anal. Calcd for $C_7H_{10}F_2$: C, 63.60; H, 7.64; F, 28.75. Found: C, 63.45; H, 7.54; F, 28.63. For entry 3 in Table 1: Anal. Calcd for $C_6H_8F_2$: C, 60.99; H, 6.84; F, 32.16. Found: C, 60.17; H, 6.68; F, 32.20. IR (NaCl plate) 1215, 1181, and 1118 cm⁻¹ ($-CF_2$ - bending modes).

 ⁽²²⁾ Hoffman, R. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1971, 10, 529-537.
 (23) Dolbier, W. R.; Enoch, H. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4532-4533.

⁽²⁴⁾ Zisman, W. A. In *Contact Angle, Wettability, and Adhesion*; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1964; pp 1–51.